1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	STEVE COHN, et al.,	CASE NO. C21-1464JLR
11	Plaintiffs, v.	SHOW CAUSE ORDER
12   13	DAIRYLAND AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,	
14	Defendant.	
15	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a	
16	summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements	
17	for doing so. <i>See</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which	
18	service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:	
19	If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the	
20	court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be	
21	made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.	
22	Id.	

1 Here, Plaintiffs Steve Cohn and Laura Scheyer (collectively, "Plaintiffs") appear 2 to have failed to serve Defendant Dairyland American Insurance Company ("Dairyland") 3 with a summons and a copy of Plaintiffs' complaint within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m). (See generally Dkt.) The court understands that Plaintiffs provided a copy of 4 5 the summons and complaint to the Washington Insurance Commissioner (the 6 "Commissioner") for the Commissioner to effect service on Dairyland pursuant to RCW 7 48.05.215, and that the Commissioner accepted those documents. (See Cert. (Dkt. # 5); 8 Affidavit (Dkt. # 6).) While the court is also aware that the Commissioner was 9 unsuccessful in their first attempt to serve Dairyland in December 2021 (see Affidavit), it is unclear whether Dairyland has been served at this point (see generally Dkt.). 10 11 Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiffs to SHOW CAUSE within fifteen (15) 12 days of the date of this order why this action should not be dismissed for failure to 13 comply with Rule 4(m). Alternatively, Plaintiffs may respond with proof that Dairyland 14 has in fact been served. If Plaintiffs do not demonstrate good cause for the failure to 15 comply with Rule 4(m), the court will dismiss the action without prejudice. 16 Dated this 23rd day of February, 2022. 17 m R. Plut 18 JAMÉS L. ROBART 19 United States District Judge 20 21 22